IEA2024 Practitioner Lecture and Poster Proposal Template

# PRACTITIONER PROPOSAL TITLE (Arial 14 pt)

[INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS:

* *Use this shell if you intend to share a real-world case of the application of ergonomics to improve a workplace or system. The intent is to share your practical approach, methods used, results of the work, and lessons learned that may be of interest to other attendees.*
* *Please try to stick to this proposal template but adapt it if necessary.*
* *Don’t include author names! - It’s a “blind” review; please avoid identifying text in your document.*
* *Proposals should be at most 900 words long. You will have the chance to extend your work, if it’s accepted.*
* *Please submit your proposal by uploading the Word file, prepared using this template, to the online submission system.*
* *When submitting your proposal online, please specify if you prefer ‘Lecture’, ‘Poster’, or ‘Either format’. If program space limitations are a concern, each presenting author will be limited to ONE lecture presentation. These authors may co-author communications presented by other people.*
* *Delete these lines prior to submission.*]

**MAIN MESSAGE:** This 2-3-line summary should capture the main point of your communication (like a journal abstract, but shorter).

**PROBLEM:** What is the nature of the problem or challenge you are describing?

**CONTEXT:**  Background of the organization, site, and situation. Keep this short. (Note the line between paragraphs here!) Citations, if required, should follow APA format: (Author 1, et al., year). A reference may be, for example (Khalid & Helander, 2004). We recommend limiting references in your proposal.

**ACTIONS:** What was done in the project? What did you do? What information was gathered and how? What methods were used, or approaches taken?

**OUTCOMES:**  What happened? What worked and what did not? Qualitative and quantitative information are both appreciated. Tables and figures are not allowed at the proposal stage of the submission. Provide a paragraph-based description of the evaluation results.

**DISCUSSION:**  Why did things work or not work? What do you think is going on?

* What might you do differently next time?
* What implications does this have for research & researchers and to organisations and industry? (You may suggest further research work needed / research used.)

**CONCLUSION:**  What is your advice to other practitioners considering this kind of project? **REFERENCES:** *(As required, use APA 5th format).*

Khalid, H.M., & Helander, M.G. (2004). A framework for affective customer needs in product design. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 5, 27-42.